One- and Two-Sample Match Statistics

J.S. Rao

Department of Mathematics, University of California at Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, U.S.A.

Ram C. Tiwari

University of Allahabad, Allahabad, India

Received August 1982; revised version received October 1982

Abstract. A match statistic considered by Khidr (1981) is interpreted in terms of crossings of the empirical and true distribution functions and a simpler alternate derivation of its distribution provided. This approach can also be used to obtain the distribution of a two-sample match statistic, considered earlier by Takács (1971).

Keywords. Order statistics, matches, spacing-frequencies, one- and two-sample problems.

1. Introduction

Khidr (1981) considered the problem of matching order statistics with intervals and developed a test for goodness of fit based on the number of 'matches'. Let U_{in} , i = 1, ..., n be the order statistics from a random sample of size n from U(0, 1), the uniform distribution on the unit interval. Given an arbitrary partition of [0, 1] into n intervals, a match is said to occur if the *i*th order statistic falls in the *i*th interval. Among all possible partitions of [0, 1], the expected number of matches is shown there to be a maximum corresponding to that partition whose *i*th interval is ((i - 1)/n, i/n], i = 1, ..., n. We consider therefore this statistic M_n to be the total number of matches, where a match is said to occur at the *i*th place if U_{in} falls in ((i - 1)/n, i/n]. In Section 2 of this paper, a simple derivation of the exact distribution of M_n is given. This derivation makes use of the multinomial frequency counts in these fixed intervals after relating it to the number of 'crossings' in the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic.

Section 3 considers a similar match statistic for the two-sample problem. Assuming equal sample sizes, a match is said to occur at the *i*th place if the *i*th order statistic of the first sample falls inbetween the (i-1)st and *i*th order statistics of the second sample. This two-sample match statistic, M_n^* , is related to the number of crossings of the two empirical distribution functions and has been considered in Takács (1971). We briefly indicate how the method of Section 2 can be applied to derive the distribution of M_n^* . A discussion of some possible alternate definitions of a 'match' as well as some Monte Carlo power comparisons will be considered in a separate paper.

2. Matches in the one-sample problem

Let U_i , i = 1, ..., n denote the order statistics in a random sample of size n from U(0, 1) where we omit the second subscript n for notational convenience. Let $I_i = ((i-1)/n, i/n]$, i = 1, ..., n be a partition of [0, 1].

0167-7152/83/0000-0000/\$03.00 © 1983 North-Holland

We say a match occurs in the *i*th interval if U_i falls in the interval I_i . Let M_n denote the total number of matches.

Let R_i be the number of U_j 's falling in the interval I_i and let $T_i = \sum_{j=1}^i R_j$ be the cumulative frequencies, i.e., the total number of U_j 's falling below i/n which includes all intervals upto and including the *i*th interval. Clearly the joint distribution of (R_1, \ldots, R_n) is a multinomial with *n* trials and cell probabilities $(1/n, \ldots, 1/n)$ – this will be denoted by $M(n; (1/n, \ldots, 1/n))$. Let X_1, X_2, \ldots be a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables having a Poisson distribution with parameter $\lambda > 0$, i.e., $X_i \sqcap \text{Poi}(\lambda)$. Then it is well known that the joint distribution of (X_1, \ldots, X_n) given $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = n$ is $M(n; (1/n, \ldots, 1/n))$. Thus the frequencies (R_1, \ldots, R_n) have the same joint distribution as the random variable (X_1, \ldots, X_n) conditional on the event $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = n$. In symbols,

$$(R_1, \dots, R_n) \sqcap \left(X_1, \dots, X_n \middle| \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = n \right)$$
(1)

where ' \sqcap ' stands for 'same in distribution as'.

Lemma 2.1. The total number of matches M_n is equal to the number of $T_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i} R_j$ which equal *i*, *i.e.*, $M_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n} I(T_i = i)$ where $I(\cdot)$ stands for the indicator function.

Proof. Let $F_n(x) = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n I(U_i \leq x)$ be the empirical c.d.f. of the sample. Let F(x) = x, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, denote the c.d.f. corresponding to the U(0, 1). We formulate the proof in terms of the 'crossings' of F_n and F, which provides a nice interpretation to the statistic M_n . We say an *upcrossing* occurs at the U_i if $F_n(U_i - 0) < F(U_i) \leq F_n(U_i)$. Thus an upcrossing occurs at U_i iff $((i-1)/n) < U_i \leq (i/n)$, i.e., iff there is a match in the *i*th interval. The total number of matches is then equal to the number of upcrossings. We say a *horizontal crossing* occurs at U_i if the step function, $F_n(x)$ over the interval $[U_i, U_{i+1})$ intersects the line F(x) = x. Since $F_n(x) = i/n$ over this interval, clearly a horizontal crossing occurs at U_i iff $F_n(i/n) = i/n$; i.e., $T_j = i$. Note that between any two upcrossings (horizontal crossings) there is a horizontal crossing (upcrossing). Thus the total number of matches M_n is also equal to the total number of horizontal crossing its $M_n = \#(T_i = i), i = 1, 2, ..., n$. \Box

Lemma 2.2. For k = 0, 1, ..., n, define

$$S_{n,k} = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le n} \mathbf{P} \big(T_{i_1} = i_1, T_{i_2} = i_2, \dots, T_{i_k} = i_k \big).$$

Then

$$S_{n,k} = \frac{n!}{n^n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-j-1}{k-1} \binom{n^j}{j!}.$$
 (2)

Proof. Let $V_i = \sum_{j=1}^i X_j$ where $\{X_i\}$ are i.i.d. Poi (λ) variables. From (1) and the fact that $V_i \cap Poi(i\lambda)$, we have

$$S_{n,k} = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n} \mathbf{P}(V_{i_1} = i_1, V_{i_2} = i_2, \dots, V_{i_k} = i_k | V_n = n)$$

=
$$\sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n} \frac{\mathbf{P}(V_{i_1} = i_1, V_{i_2} - V_{i_1} = i_2 - i_1, \dots, V_{i_k} - V_{i_{k-1}} = i_k - i_{k-1}, V_n - V_{i_k} = n - i_k)}{\mathbf{P}(V_n = n)}$$

STATISTICS & PROBABILITY LETTERS

$$= \frac{n!}{n^{n}} \sum_{1 \le i_{1} \le \dots \le i_{k} \le n} \frac{i_{1}^{i_{1}}(i_{2} - i_{1})^{i_{2} - i_{1}} \cdots (i_{k} - i_{k-1})^{i_{k} - i_{k-1}} (n - i_{k})^{n - i_{k}}}{i_{1}!(i_{2} - i_{1})! \cdots (i_{k} - i_{k-1})! (n - i_{k})!}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{n^{n}} \sum_{\substack{l_{j} \ge 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, k+1 \\ \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} l_{j} = n - k}} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{l_{j}^{l_{j}} + 1}{l_{j}!} \right] \frac{l_{k+1}^{l_{k+1}}}{l_{k+1}!}$$
(3)

by putting $l_1 = i_1 - 1$, $l_2 = i_2 - i_1 - 1$,..., $l_k = i_k - i_{k-1} - 1$, and $l_{k+1} = n - i_k$ with the convention $0^0 = 1$. From the multinomial Abel identities (see Riordan (1968, pp. 24-25), see also Khidr (1981)) it can be shown that

$$\sum_{\substack{l_j \ge 0\\ \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} l_j = n-k}} \left[\prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\left(l_j+1\right)^{l_j}}{l_j!} \right] \frac{l_{k+1}^{l_{k+1}}}{l_{k+1}!} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{k+j-1}{k-1} \frac{n^{n-k-j}}{(n-k-j)!}.$$
(4)

Thus (3) simplifies to (2) establishing the lemma. \Box

The final result now follows in exactly the same way as in Khidr (1981, p. 1406) by the inclusion-exclusion arguments. We include the proof for completeness.

Theorem 2.3. For r = 1, 2, ..., n

$$\mathbf{P}(M_n \ge r) = \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} \left(1 - \frac{j}{n}\right).$$
(5)

Proof. From the inclusion-exclusion principle (cf. Feller (1968, p. 109)),

$$\mathbf{P}(M_n \ge r) = \sum_{j=r}^n (-1)^{j-r} {j-1 \choose r-1} S_{n,j} = \frac{n!}{n^n} \sum_{j=r}^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-j} (-1)^{j-r} {j-1 \choose r-1} {n-i-1 \choose j-1} \frac{n^i}{i!}.$$

Substituting l = n - i and interchanging the order of summation we get

$$\mathbf{P}(M_n \ge r) = \frac{n!}{n^n} \sum_{l=r}^n \frac{(l-1)!n^{n-l}}{(r-1)!(l-r)!(n-l)!} \sum_{j=r}^l (-1)^{j-r} {l-r \choose j-r}.$$

The sum over j is equal to zero if $l \neq r$ and one if l = r. Thus

$$\mathbf{P}(M_n \ge r) = \frac{n!}{(n-r)!} \frac{1}{n'} = \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} \left(1 - \frac{j}{n}\right). \quad \Box$$

Proposition 2.4. The expected value and the variance of the number of matches M_n is given by

$$\mathbf{E}(M_n) = \mu_n = \frac{n!}{n^n} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{n^r}{r!}, \qquad \operatorname{Var}(M_n) = \mu_n (1 - \mu_n) + \frac{2(n!)}{n^n} \sum_{r=0}^{n-2} (n - r - 1) \frac{n^r}{r!}. \tag{6}$$

131

Volume 1, Number 3

March 1983

Proof. Using the fact that $M_n = \sum_{k=1}^n I(T_k = k)$ and the conditional representation (1), we have

$$\mathbf{E} \, M_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{E} \, I(T_k = k) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{E} \, I(V_k = k | V_n = n)$$

= $\sum_{k=1}^n \mathbf{P}(V_k = k, V_n - V_k = n - k) / \mathbf{P}(V_n = n) = \frac{n!}{n^n} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k^k (n - k)^{n-k}}{k! (n - k)!} = \frac{n!}{n^n} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{n^r}{r!},$

where the last step follows from identity (4) with k = 1. Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}(M_n) &= \operatorname{E}(M_n^2) - \left[\operatorname{E}(M_n)\right]^2 = \mu_n (1 - \mu_n) + 2 \sum_{1 \le k < l \le n} \frac{\operatorname{P}(V_k = k, V_l = l, V_n = n)}{\operatorname{P}(V_n = n)} \\ &= \mu_n (1 - \mu_n) + 2 \sum_{1 \le k < l \le n} \frac{n! k^k (l - k)^{l - k} (n - l)^{n - l}}{n^n k! (l - k)! (n - l)!} \\ &= \mu_n (1 - \mu_n) + 2 \frac{n!}{n^n} \sum_{r=0}^{n-2} (n - r - 1) \frac{n^r}{r!}, \end{aligned}$$

again from identity (4). \Box

3. Matches in the two-sample problem

Let F and G denote the two c.d.f.'s, which, for our purposes, can be assumed without loss of generality, to have support on [0, 1] and that one of them, say F, corresponds to the uniform distribution. Let X_1, \ldots, X_n and Y_1, \ldots, Y_n be the order statistics (again with the second subscript n dropped for notational convenience) based on independent random samples of size n each from F and G respectively. To test the null hypothesis $H_0: F = G$, one may use the following match statistic. We say a match occurs at the *i*th place iff $X_{i-1} < Y_i \leq X_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ (with the notation $X_0 = 0$).

Let M_n^* be the total number of matches. Observe that M_n^* is also the number of *i* for which $G_n(Y_i - 0) < F_n(Y_i) \le G_n(Y_i)$ where F_n and G_n are the empirical distribution functions for X's and Y's respectively. A slightly more general version of M_n^* is discussed in Takács (1971). Our aim is to briefly indicate that the exact distribution of this two-sample statistic M_n^* can also be derived on lines exactly similar to those of Section 2.

Let S_i be the number of Y_j 's in $(X_{i-1}, X_i]$, i = 1, ..., n, with $S_{n+1} = (n - \sum_{i=1}^n S_i)$. These numbers are called the 'spacing-frequencies' and some general asymptotic theory for statistics based on these has been studied in Holst and Rao (1980). By arguments similar to those in Lemma 2.1 it is easy to verify that M_n^* is equal to the number of j for which $T_j^* = j$ where $T_j^* = \sum_{i=1}^j S_i$. Now let η denote a geometric (p) random variable with probability function $\mathbf{P}(\eta = k) = pq^k$, k = 0, 1, ... and let $\eta_1, \eta_2, ...$ be a sequence of such i.i.d. geometric variables. Let $V_j^* = \sum_{i=1}^j \eta_i$ which then has a negative binomial distribution denoted by NB(j, p) with probability function

$$\mathbf{P}(V_j^*=k) = \binom{j+k-1}{k} p^j q^k, \quad k=0, 1, \ldots$$

The following result gives an analogue to the conditional representation (1) for the two-sample problem.

Lemma 3.1. Under the null hypothesis $H_0: F = G$, (S_1, \ldots, S_{n+1}) has the same distribution as the conditional distribution of $(\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_{n+1})$ given $\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i = n$. Symbolically

$$(S_1, \dots, S_{n+1}) \sqcap \left(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{n+1} \middle| \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \eta_i = n \right).$$
(7)

Proof. A simple direct argument yields the proof. See also Feller (1968, p. 43) for a discussion on Bose-Einstein statistics. Under the hypothesis, the first sample can be considered as obtained by simple random sampling without replacement from the combined sample. Hence

,

$$\mathbf{P}(S_1 = s_1, \dots, S_{n+1} = s_{n+1}) = 1/\binom{2n}{n}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathbf{P}\left(\eta_{1}=s_{1},\ldots,\eta_{n+1}=s_{n+1}\middle|\sum_{1}^{n+1}\eta_{i}=n\right)=\frac{(pq^{s_{1}})\cdots(pq^{s_{n+1}})}{\binom{n+1+n-1}{n}p^{n+1}q^{n}}=1/\binom{2n}{n}.$$

Using representation (7), one can evaluate the distribution of M_n^* in exactly the same way as that of M_n in Section 2. We will only give a brief outline of this derivation. For an alternate derivation using lattice-path counting, see Takács (1971). Analogous to (3), for k = 0, 1, ..., n,

$$S_{n,k} = \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leqslant n} \mathbf{P}(T_{i_1}^* = i_1, T_{i_2}^* = i_2, \dots, T_{i_k}^* = i_k)$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leqslant n} \mathbf{P}(V_{i_1}^* = i_1, V_{i_2}^* = i_2, \dots, V_{i_k}^* = i_k | V_{n+1}^* = n)$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leqslant n} \mathbf{P}(V^* = i_1, V_{i_2}^* - V_{i_1}^* = i_2 - i_1, \dots, V_{i_k}^* - V_{i_{k-1}}^* = i_k - i_{k-1},$$

$$V_{n+1}^* - V_{i_k}^* = n - i_k) \mathbf{P}(V_{n+1}^* = n)^{-1}$$

$$= \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leqslant n} \frac{\binom{2i_1 - 1}{i_1} \binom{2(i_2 - i_1) - 1}{i_2 - i_1} \cdots \binom{2(i_k - i_{k-1}) - 1}{i_k - i_{k-1}} \binom{2(n - i_k)}{n - i_k}}{\binom{2n}{n}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2^k \binom{2n}{n}} \sum_{1 \leqslant i_1 < \cdots < i_k \leqslant n} \binom{2i_1}{i_1} \binom{2l_1}{l_2 \binom{2l_2}{l_2} \cdots \binom{2l_k}{l_k} \binom{2l_k}{l_k} \binom{2l_{k+1}}{n - i_k}}{(2n)}$$
(8)

where $l_1 = i_1, l_2 = i_2 - i_1, \dots, l_k = i_k - i_{k-1}$ and $l_{k+1} = n - i_k$. Using the generating function (cf. Riordan (1968, p. 130))

$$(1-4x)^{-1/2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} {\binom{2k}{k}} x^k,$$

133

we obtain the identity

$$\sum_{\substack{l_i \ge 1, i=1, \dots, k; l_{k+1} \ge 0 \\ \sum_{1}^{k+1} l_i = n}} \binom{2l_1}{l_1} \cdots \binom{2l_{k+1}}{l_{k+1}} = \frac{2^{2n}}{n!} \left[\sum_{r=1}^k (-1)^{k-r+1} \left(\frac{r}{k-r+1} \right) \binom{k}{r} (\frac{1}{2}r)^{[n]} + (\frac{1}{2}(k+1))^{[n]} \right]$$
(9)

where we use the ascending factorial notation, $a^{[b]} = a(a+1)\cdots(a+b-1)$ if b > 0 and = 1 if b = 0. Using (9) the expression in (8) for $S_{n,k}$ reduces to

$$S_{n,k} = \frac{2^{2n-k}}{\binom{2n}{n}} \left[\sum_{r=1}^{k} (-1)^{k-r+1} \left(\frac{r}{k-r+1} \right) \binom{k}{r} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}r \right)^{[n]}}{n!} + \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} (k+1) \right)^{[n]}}{n!} \right].$$

Now applying to the inclusion-exclusion formula as in Theorem 2.3 and with considerable simplifications we obtain

$$\mathbf{P}(M_n^* \ge k) = {\binom{2n}{n+k}} / {\binom{2n}{n}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$
(10)

This result is contained in Takács (1971, equation (6), p. 1158).

Proposition 3.2. Under the hypothesis H_0 : F = G,

$$\mathbf{E}(M_n^*) = \mu_n^* = \frac{2^{2n-1}}{\binom{2n}{n}} - \frac{1}{2}$$
(11)

and

$$\operatorname{Var}(M_n^*) = n + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{2^{4n-2}}{\binom{2n}{n}^2}.$$

Proof. Using the conditional representation (7) and the fact that $M_n^* = \sum_{i=1}^n I(T_i^* = i)$, we have

$$\mu_n^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{P}(V_i^* = i | V_{n+1}^* = n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{P}(V_i^* = i, V_{n+1}^* - V_i^* = n - i) / \mathbf{P}(V_{n+1}^* = n)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{2i}{i} \binom{2(n-i)}{n-i} / \binom{2}{n}.$$

The expression for μ_n^* in (11) now follows from identity (9) where we take k = 1. Similarly

$$\operatorname{Var}(M_{n}^{*}) = \mu_{n}^{*}(1-\mu_{n}^{*}) + 2 \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \mathbb{E} I(T_{k}^{*}=k, T_{l}^{*}=l)$$

$$= \mu_{n}^{*}(1-\mu_{n}^{*}) + 2 \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \mathbb{P}(V_{k}^{*}=k, V_{l}^{*}=l, V_{n+1}^{*}=n)/\mathbb{P}(V_{n+1}^{*}=n)$$

$$= \mu_{n}^{*}(1-\mu_{n}^{*}) + \sum_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2(l-k)}{l-k} \binom{2(n-l)}{n-l} / \binom{2n}{n}.$$

The second term in the above expression can be simplified again using identity (9) with k = 2. It can be further reduced to the form in (11) using this and the expression for μ_n^* . \Box

References

- Feller, W. (1968), An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications Vol. 1 (Wiley, New York, 3rd ed.).
- Holst, L. and J.S. Rao (1980), Asymptotic theory for some families of two-sample nonparametric statistics, Sankhyā Ser. A 42, 19-52.
- Khidr, A.M. (1981), Matching of order statistics with intervals, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 12, 1402-1407.
- Riordan, J. (1968), Combinatorial Identities (Wiley, New York).
- Takács, L. (1971), On the comparison of two empirical distribution functions, Ann. Math. Statist. 42, 1157-1166.